Class and Section: MIS4173-601 Date: 2/23/2021

## **Assignment: Sprint Review & Retrospective 1**

Group #: 10

Shelby Caroon, Matthew Chapman, Bod Davis, Erik Lundblad

SR&R 1 Documentation Grade: **89** SR&R 1 Presentation Grade: **58**

***Presentation graded with COB BCC Rubric by Richard O’Dor***

#### SR&R 1 – Overall

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Content  (Overall Project) | 4- Exceptional  3 -Good  2- Satisfactory  1- Poor  0 -Unacceptable | **Comments:**  You all have done an okay job. Please look over our comments and address these areas in your documentation and presentation to improve your solution. Overall, both your presentation and your documentation are good, but they would be better if you include ALL requirements from the grade sheet—use the grade sheet to know what is required.  Dr. Kisling and Jarrett Eason (02/23/2021) |

#### SR&R 1 – Documentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Overall Organization** |  |  |
| Title Page | **2** | 2 |
| Table of Contents | **2** | 2 |
| **SR&R 1 Required Documents** |  |  |
| **Project Documents** |  |  |
| Documents from Document Backlog | **10** | 10 |
| Initial Context Diagram | **20** | 19 |
| Initial Use Case Diagram | **15** | 14 |
| **Sprint Documents** |  |  |
| Initial Product Backlog | **7** | 6 |
| Sprint 1 Backlog | **7** | 6 |
| Sprint 1 Burn Down Chart | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 1 Daily SCRUM Meeting Log | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 1 Team Evaluation Document | **7** | 0 |
| **Team Roles & Team Charter** | **6** | 6 |
| **Project Plan** | **10** | 10 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 89 |

#### SR&R 1 – Presentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Presentation** |  |  |
| Overall Organization of Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Company Background & Current Environment | **5** | 5 |
| Problem Analysis discussion (BPA, BPI, BPR) | **15** | 15 |
| Proposed System Objectives, and Constraints | **5** | 5 |
| Expected Benefits | **5** | 5 |
| Initial Use Case Diagram | **15** | 0 |
| Initial Context Diagram | **15** | 5 |
| **Sprint Items** |  |  |
| Initial Product Backlog | **5** | 0 |
| Sprint 1 Backlog | **5** | 0 |
| Sprint 1 Burn Down Chart | **5** | 0 |
| Sprint 1 Potentially Shippable Product Increment | **10** | 8 |
| **Discussion with Class** |  |  |
| Facilitation | **5** | 5 |
| Input from peers | **5** | 5 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 58 |

**Comments:**

Presentation:

* The context diagram is wrong/not present
* Team did not go over/present sprint documents—this cost you 15 points
* There was no UCD in presentation—this cost you 15 points
* No PSPI, but it is sprint 1 . . .

Documentation:

* All documentation is present except for team evaluation—Dr. Kisling posted an announcement on Canvas explaining what to address
* Backlogs are not completely filled out for items that are within them
* The UCD should show the “Owner” on the left side of the diagram
* Context diagram should show “0” for the information system and not “1.0” since it is not a process on the level 0 DFD (data flow diagram)